# Time History Analysis of High Rise Structure Using Different Accelerogram

# Shashank Bedekar<sup>1</sup>, R. R. Shinde<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>P.G. Student, Civil Engineering Department, Late G.N. Sapkal College of Engineering, Nashik, Maharashtra, India

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Late G.N. Sapkal College of Engineering, Nashik, Maharashtra, India

### Abstract

Time history analysis is an important technique for structural seismic analysis especially when the evaluated structural response is nonlinear. To perform such an analysis, a representative earthquake time history is required for a structure being evaluated. This research paper describes the results of an extensive study on the seismic behavior of structure under different earthquake accelerograph like El centro, Bhuj, Killari In this work an attempt is made to analyze high rise structure with the help of E-tab software. This work has selected Time History Analysis method. For analysis purpose high rise structure with G+25 stories has been selected. Time History of earthquake at various places like El Centro, Bhuj, Killari are used for analysis of selected high rise structure. After that comparative study is made between all selected places. At first study of all places without damper is made. In this work constant loading parameters are used, also same plan is used for various models of time history. Load combinations are taken from IS code.

Key Words: Time History Analysis, High Rise Building.

### 1. Introduction

Earthquake resistant design of engineering structures is one of the most important methods of mitigating risk of damage from future earthquakes. Such designs are based on the specification of ground motion which can be expected in the event of an earthquake. However, for earthquake-resistant design of some important structures like dams and nuclear power plants, located in seismically active areas, it is desirable to have a reliable site-specific design accelerogram. Available records of strong ground motion, after suitable modifications, have been used in the past for detailed dynamic analysis of engineering structures. However, synthetic accelerograms are now increasingly being used in earthquake engineering. Knowledge of regional and local seismicity and seismotectonics, a suitable earth model and source characteristics of the design earthquake are required for this purpose.

The Time History Response of a structure is simply the response (motion or force) of the structure evaluated as a function of time including inertial effects. The time history analysis in the advanced level of visual analysis allows four main loading types. These include base accelerations, base displacements, factored forcing functions, and harmonically varying force input. Analysis of a structure, applying data over increment time steps as a function of:

– Acceleration – Force – Moment, or

Displacement.

Time history analysis is considered to be more realistic compared to response spectrum analysis. It is most useful for very long or very tall structures (flexible structures).

# 2. Methodology

For analysis purpose high rise building of G + 25 floors has been selected. The building is RCC framed structure. Overall height of the building is 77.6 M. First 4 floors are for Parking.

### A. Model Description

A G + 25 story building plan is selected for the study. same model is used for analysis of different time history.

	Table1:	Key	features	of the	structure
--	---------	-----	----------	--------	-----------

Name of parameter	Value	Unit
Number of stories	25	Nos.

# WWW.ijreat.org Published by: PIONEER RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT GROUP (www.prdg.org)

IJREAT International Journal of Research in Engineering & Advanced Technology, Volume 3, Issue 2, April-May, 2015 ISSN: 2320 – 8791 (Impact Factor: 2.317) www.ijreat.org



Figure 2: 3-D view of building

IJREAT International Journal of Research in Engineering & Advanced Technology, Volume 3, Issue 2, April-May, 2015 ISSN: 2320 – 8791 (Impact Factor: 2.317) www.ijreat.org



Figure 11: BASE SHEAR-KOYNA (Y-DIRECTION)(THA-Y)

# 5. Discussion

Lateral Displacement

WWW.ijreat.org Published by: PIONEER RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT GROUP (www.prdg.org)

# IJREAT International Journal of Research in Engineering & Advanced Technology, Volume 3, Issue 2, April-May, 2015 ISSN: 2320 – 8791 (Impact Factor: 2.317) www.ijreat.org

- Displacement of top story in THA-X is 89.72mm
   & 38.40 mm for case A & B simultaneously.
- Avg. displacement in case B is less by 48.25%
   than in case A.
- Displacement of top story in THA-Y is 86.71mm
   & 39.50 mm for case A & B simultaneously.
- Avg. displacement in case B is less by 49.76%
   than in case A.

#### **Axial Forces**

- Axial forces induced in case A are greater than case B.
- Avg. axial force in case B is less by 21.39% than
   in case A.

#### **Base Shear**

Base shear in case A is greater than in case B.

## 6. Conclusion

- Time history of Bhuj earthquake is more hazardous than time history of Koyna earthquake.
- B Displacement due to Bhuj Earthquake (case 1) is approximately double as compare to Koyna Earthquake (case 2).
- Avg. axial force and base shear in case of Bhuj earthquake is greater than koyna earthquake for selected building plan.
   Avg. axial force and base shear in case of Bhuj

# 7. Future scope

There are various types of damper which reduces the seismic effects on the structure. In further work behavior of structure can be study after provision of damper.

# References

 Waseem Khan1, Dr.Saleem Akhtar2, Aslam Hussain3, "Nonlinear Time history analysis of tall structure for seismic load using damper", International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 4, Issue 4, April 2014.

- [2] Mohit Sharma1, Dr.Savita Maru2, "Dynamic Analysis of multyStroried Regular Building", IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE), e-ISSN: 2278-1684,p-ISSN: 2320-334X, Volume 11, Issue 1 Ver. II (Jan. 2014), PP 37-42.
- [3] Wakchaure M.R, Ped S. P, "Earthquake Analysis of High Rise building with and without In filled Walls", International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT), Volume 2, Issue 2, August 2012.
- [4] SasaMitrovic, "Comparison between non-linear dynamic and static seismic analysis of structures according to European and US provisions", Bull Earthquake Eng DOI 10.1007/s10518-010-9199-1.
- [5] BIS, IS: 456, Indian standard code of practice for plain and reinforced concrete, fourth revision, september–2000.
- [6] BIS, IS: 1893 (part 1), Indian standard code of practice for criteria for earthquake resistant design of structure, general provisions and buildings, fifth revision, 2002.